

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I now pay for local phone uss to SBC: 911-\$.75, state infrastructure maint. fee \$.11, state additional charge \$.02, federal universal service fee \$.39, Il. universal fee \$.04, Il. telecom relay svc and eqp \$.59, feeral at 3%or \$.67, Illinois tax \$1.56, municipal telcommunuiactionstax \$1.34. Total taxes \$3.57 Also on my long distance bill from AT&T universal connectivity chg. \$1.00, regulatory assessment fee \$.99, federal tax \$.62, telecomm excise @2.69, Illinois PUC surcharge \$.02, Il universal service fund \$.02, intrastructure maintence fund fee \$.10. this totals to \$3.45.

Thank you very much I don't need any more tax upon tax on my phone service.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerly, Larry Holman 1011 N. Vermilion St.  
Danville, Il. 61832

Sincerely,

Larry Holman  
1011 N. Vermilion St.  
Danville, Illinois 61832